

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,244	07/29/2003	Kwang-il Kim	1572.1117	5449
21171	7590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			UNDERWOOD, DONALD W	
	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20005		3652	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
-		10/628,244	KIM ET AL.					
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Donald Underwood	, 3652					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7/29/03.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <i>none</i> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,5-8,11,12 and 16</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>2-4,9,10 and 13-15</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07/29/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Paper	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>072905</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/628,244

Art Unit: 3652

Detailed Action

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Matsumura.

Regarding claims 1, 7 and 8 note the shields in figures 7-15 and in figure 17.

Regarding claims 11, 12 and 16, note structure 18 shields 28 from 23.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/628,244

Art Unit: 3652

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Matsumura in view of Kaufeldt.

It would have been an obvious substitution of equivalent drives to substitute

pulleys, belt and motor as taught by Kaufeldt for the rack, pinion and motor in

Matsumura.

6. Claims 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 13, 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all

of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Underwood

at telephone number 703-308-1112.

Underwood/vs February 22, 2005 ilmad w lenderwood 300ALD W. UNDERWOOD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3